

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/773,757	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ELLIOTT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Chi Q. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 3635	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** UNDER FINAL

(1) Chi Q. Nguyen.

(3) APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY.

(2) APPLICANT.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☐ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☒ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: LAB TEST TO SHOW HOW THE DEVICE'S BENEFIT FOR POSTS.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

YES

Claims discussed:

ONE

Prior art documents discussed:

US PAT. NO. 6,050,033 TO WRIGHTMAN

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

APPLICANT PROPOSES THE CLAIMED LANGUAGE TO OVERCOME THE PRIOR ART- AND EXAMINER WILL CONSIDER WHEN THE FORMAL RESPONSE IS FILED.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)